PTO/SB/21 (69-04)
06. OMB 0654 000 Approved for use through 07/31/2006. OMB 0651-0031 U.S. Patent and Trademark Office: U.S. DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE Under the Paperwork Reduction Act of 1995, no persons are required to respond to a collection of information unless it displays a valid OMB control number.

		Application Number	er	09/835,164	1	1		
FE TRANSMITTAL FORM		Filing Date		April 13, 20	001	7		
		First Named Inventor Shujin Zhang et a		ing et al.				
ريع 2005 (يو	Art Unit		2182		\neg			
(to, see for all correspondence after initial filing)		Examiner Name		Patel, Niketa I.				
Humber of Pages in This Submiss	ion 2	Attorney Docket N	umber	CISCO-38	40	フ		
ENCLOSURES (check all that apply)								
Fee Transmittal Form	☐ Drawing(s			After Allo	owance Communication to T	c		
Fee Attached	Licensing	related Papers .		Appeal Communication to Board				
☐ Amendment / Reply	Petition	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·		of Appeals and Interferences Appeal Communication to TC (Appeal Notice, Brief, Reply Brief)				
After Final		Convert to a I Application		Proprietary Information				
Affidavits/declaration(s)		Attorney, Revocation f Correspondence Address		Status Letter				
Extension of Time Request	Terminal	Disclaimer		Other Enclosure(s) (please identify below):				
Express Abandonment Request	Request f	for Refund ber of CD(s)	İ	Applicant/Att	torney Interview Summary; ard			
☐ Information Disclosure Statement	<u> </u>	ndscape Table on CD						
Certified Copy of Priority Document(s)	Remarks					\neg		
Reply to Missing Parts/ Incomplete Application						ŀ		
Reply to Missing Parts under 37 CFR1.52 or 1.53								
SIGI	NATURE OF	APPLICANT, ATTO	RNEY, OI	R AGENT				
Firm	Firm THELEN REID & PRIEST LLP AA AA THELEN REID & PRIEST LLP							
Signature Mm///								
Printed Name								
Date	December 1, 20	005	Reg. No.	42,626				
		TE OF TRANSMISS						
I hereby certify that this correspondence is being facsimile transmitted to the USPTO or deposited with the United States Postal Service with sufficient postage as first class mail in an envelope addressed to: Commissioner for Patents, P.O. Box 1450, Alexandria, VA 22313-1450 on the date shown below.								
Signature &	avon E	(15vo)						
Typed or printed name Sharon E	. Byam	7		Date	December 1, 2005			

This collection of information is required by 37 CFR 1.5. The information is required to obtain or retain a benefit by the public which is to file (and by the USPTO to process) an application. Confidentiality is governed by 35 U.S.C. 122 and 37 CFR 1.11 and 1.14. This collection is estimated to 12 minutes to complete, including gathering, preparing, and submitting the completed application form to the USPTO. Time will vary depending upon the individual case. Any comments on the amount of time you require to complete this form and/or suggestions for reducing this burden, should be sent to the Chief Information Officer, U.S. Patent and Trademark Office, U.S. Department of Commerce, P.O. Box 1450, Alexandria, VA 22313-1450. DO NOT SEND FEES OR COMPLETED FORMS TO THIS ADDRESS. SEND TO: Commissioner for Patents, P.O. Box 1450, Alexandria, VA 22313-1450.



Applicant/Attorney Interview Summary

Application No.: 09/835,164										
Examiner: Niketa I. Patel Art Unit: 2665 Status of Application: Pending										
Participants: (1) Examiner Niketa I. Patel (2) Marc S. Hanish, Reg. No. 42,626										
(3)(4)										
Date of Interview: August 15, 2005										
Type of Interview: (a) [X] Telephonic (b) [] Personal (c) [] Video Conference Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: [] YES [X] NO										
If yes, provide brief description:										
Issues Claims/ (Rej., Obj., etc) Fig. #s	Prior Art	Discussed	Agreed	N/A						
(1) <u>Claim 53</u> (2) (3) (4)		[X] [] []	[] [] []	[X] [] []						
[] Continuation Sheet Attached [] Copy of Draft Amendment (attached)										
Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments:										
The amendment to Claim 53 appears to overcome the prior art of record, however, further search and/or consideration may be required.										
Note: The MPEP, section 713.04, Substance of Interview Must be Made of Record A complete written statement as to the substance of any face-to-face, video conference, or telephone interview with regard to an application must be made of record in the application whether or not an agreement with the Examiner was reached at the interview.										
In every instance where reconsideration is requested in view of an interview with an Examiner, a complete written statement of the reasons presented at the interview as warranting favorable action must be filed be the Applicant. An interview does not remove the necessity for reply to office action as specified in §§ 1.111, 1.135. (35 U.S.C. 132)										
(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature) (CISCO-3840)										